E-FILED on <u>06/25/09</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

METTEYYA BRAHMANA,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. C-09-00106 RMW

PHILLIP CHARLES LEMBO; CYBERDATA CORPORATION; NUMONIX, INC.; AND CONQUEST TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CONQUEST TECHNOLOGY LIMITED SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED AS DEFENDANT

Defendants.

On June 10, 2009, plaintiff Metteyya Brahmana filed an ex parte request for the court to order the U.S. Marshalls to serve defendant Conquest Technology Limited ("Conquest") with a summons and Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"). According to the allegations in the complaint, Conquest is a company "owned and controlled" by defendant Phillip Charles Lembo, and used for an improper business purpose. SAC ¶¶ 8, 20. However, the SAC is not clear as to the basis of Conquest's liability to plaintiff.

Therefore, the court orders plaintiff to show cause in writing, not to exceed ten pages, by July 6, 2009 why Conquest should not be dismissed from this action because the SAC fails to state a claim against it upon which relief can be granted. Conquest may respond, if it so chooses, by July

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CONQUEST TECHNOLOGY LIMITED SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED AS DEFENDANT—No. C-09-00106 RMW JAS

Case 5:09-cv-00106-PSG Document 62 Filed 06/25/09 Page 2 of 3

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

For the Northern District of California **United States District Court**

16, 2009. No hearing on this order to show cause shall be held unless the court later determines that one is necessary. Although Conquest has not made a general appearance, its counsel is being served with a copy of this order so that it may respond to plaintiff's response to this order to show cause.

DATED: 06/25/09

United States District Judge

Case 5:09-cv-00106-PSG Document 62 Filed 06/25/09 Page 3 of 3

1	Notice of this document has been sent to:	
2	Plaintiff:	
3	Metteyya Brahmana 2636 17th Avenue	
5	Box 79 Santa Cruz, CA 95062 831-212-2731	
6	Counsel for Defendants:	
7	James Joseph Cook jcook@horanlegal.com	
8	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not	
9		
10		
11	D-4-1. 06/25/00	
12	Dated: 06/25/09 JAS Chambers of Judge Whyte	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CONQUEST TECHNOLOGY LIMITED SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED AS DEFENDANT—No. C-09-00106 RMW JAS 3	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California